		O STATES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Donald Ray Davis,)) C/A No. 4:10-0679-MBS
	Plaintiff,)
VS.)))
Michael J. Astrue, Con Social Security,	mmissioner of) ORDER)
	Defendant.)))

Plaintiff Donald Ray Davis filed the within action on March 16, 2010, seeking judicial review of a final decision of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's applications for a period of disability and disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income benefits.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III for pretrial handling. On June 29, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he determined that the decision of the Commissioner was supported by substantial evidence. Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge recommended that the decision be affirmed. Plaintiff filed no objection to the Report and Recommendation.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility for making a final determination remains with this court.

Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portions of the Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

4:10-cv-00679-MBS Date Filed 07/21/11 Entry Number 17 Page 2 of 2

the Magistrate Judge or may recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de

novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record

in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310,

315 (4th Cir. 2005).

The court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge. The court adopts the Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by

reference. The decision of the Commissioner is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour

United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

July 21, 2011.